

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
WAYNE ULIT, et al.,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:20-cv-01458-SKO (PC)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION  
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR  
FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE  
COURT'S ORDER**

21-DAY DEADLINE

On December 2, 2021, the Court issued a screening order directing Plaintiff to file a third amended complaint curing the deficiencies in his pleading or a notice of voluntary dismissal within 21 days. (Doc. 19.) Although more than the allowed time has passed, Plaintiff has failed to comply with the screening order.

The Local Rules, corresponding with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, provide that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” Local Rule 110. “District courts have inherent power to control their dockets” and, in exercising that power, may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. *Thompson v. Housing Auth., City of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action based on a party’s failure to prosecute an action, obey a court order, or comply with local rules. *See, e.g., Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with a

1 court order to amend a complaint); *Malone v. U.S. Postal Service*, 833 F.2d 128, 130-31 (9th Cir.  
2 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421,  
3 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules).

4 Based on the foregoing, the Court ORDERS Plaintiff to show cause in writing, **within 21**  
5 **days** of the date of service of this order, why this action should not be dismissed for his failure to  
6 comply with the Court's screening order. Alternatively, within that same time, Plaintiff may file a  
7 third amended complaint curing the deficiencies identified in the screening order or a notice of  
8 voluntary dismissal of this action. **Failure to comply with this order will result in a**  
9 **recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to state a claim and to obey court**  
10 **orders.**

11  
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: **January 27, 2022**

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE